

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,187	03/10/2004	Norbert Rick	MERCK-2862	2740	
	7590 07/06/2007 ITE, ZELANO & BRAI		EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1755		
,					
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,187	RICK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ag 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 13-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 13-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the correction of the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/796,187

Art Unit: 1755

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/23/07 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9 and 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over either (1) Schoen et al. US 2002/0192448 or (2) Schoen et al. (6,884,289) both in view of Andes et al. (2003/0005859).

Schoen et al. US 2002/0192448 is the pre publication of Schoen et al. (6,884,289)

Schoen (US 2002/0192448) teaches an interference pigment comprising: (A) a coating having a refractive index of > 1.8 in a layer thickness of 20 - 250 nm, (B) a colorless coating having a refractive index of 1.8 in a layer thickness of 10 - 100 nm, (C) a colorless coating having a refractive index of > 1.8 in a layer thickness of 20 - 250 nm, (D) an absorbent layer having a layer thickness of 1 - 100 nm, and, optionally, (E) an outer protective layer (see abstract

Application/Control Number: 10/796,187

Art Unit: 1755

and [0010]). Schoen teaches the flake form substrate is natural or synthetic mica, glass flake, alumina flake, silica flake or titania flake, or a mixture thereof (see [0018]). Schoen teaches in sections [0022], [0024] and [0026] materials for coating layers (A), (B), (C) above. Coating (D) can be inorganic pigments (claim 1 of the reference does not limit the pigment to any specific kind, as long as it is an absorbent pigment). Schoen teaches the pigment has industrial applications suitable for the production of flowable pigment preparation and dry preparations (see [0017], [0049] and [0050]). Schoen teaches a pigment composition would comprise one or more binders, interference pigments and optionally one or more additives (see [0049]).

The other Schoen reference teaches the same subject matter as its prepublication counterpart.

These references are silent as to the specific pigments used for layer (D).

Andes teaches in the abstract and [0042], multilayered pigments, wherein the last layer of the pigment is an absorbent layer (i.e. titanium nitride or titanium oxynitride). Section [0049] also implies that phosphates can be absorbent materials.

In view of the above teaching and the fact that the two Schoen references do not limit the absorbing layer to any specific pigment (inorganic)-see claim 1 of references, the skilled artisan would have appreciated and thus found it obvious to use any known inorganic pigment that is a absorbent as the pigment in the layers according to the Schoen references because the use of any known absorbing pigment is clearly within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Assuming arguendo about this, the substitution of one known absorbing pigment for the absorbent material defined by the Schoen references is clearly within the level of ordinary skill in the art because they are used for the same purpose. The motivation being that Andes teaches that these materials

Application/Control Number: 10/796,187

Art Unit: 1755

are used to provide the absorbent layer in multilayered pigments (i.e. interference pigments) and the Schoen references do not limit the absorbent material to any specific pigment (see claim 1 of the references). Although Andes does not specifically state that the absorbing materials are pigments, it is the examiners position that since the materials are absorbent materials and an absorbent material is generally colored, they are pigments because the definition of a pigment is a colored material.

In view of this claims 1-7, 9, 13-20 and 22-23 are met.

Claim 21 is obvious because although the references does not literally teach the combination of substrate materials, it is prima facie obvious to combine two or more materials (substrate) disclosed by the prior art to form a third material (combination of substrate materials) that is to be used for the same purpose. <u>In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069</u>.

Claims 1-7, 9 and 13-23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,884,289 in view of Andes et al. (2003/0005859).

The claims of 6,884,289 teach the claimed sequence of coating layers but fails to define the instantly claimed absorbent material used in coating layer (D). In fact, claim 1 of reference does not limit the absorbing layer to any specific pigment (inorganic), thus the skilled artisan would have appreciated and thus found it obvious to use any known inorganic pigment that is a absorbent as the pigment in the layer according 6,884,289 because the use of any known absorbing pigment is clearly within the level of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation being that Andes teaches that these materials are used to provide the absorbent layer in multilayered

Art Unit: 1755

pigments (i.e. interference pigments) and 6,884,289 does not limit the absorbent material to any specific pigment (see claim 1). Although Andes does not specifically state that the absorbing materials are pigments, it is the examiners position that since the materials are absorbent materials and an absorbent material is generally colored, they are pigments because the definition of a pigment is a colored material.

In view of this claims 1-7, 9, 13-20 and 22-23 are met

Claim 21 is obvious because it is prima facie obvious to combine two or more materials (substrate) disclosed by the prior art to form a third material (combination of substrate materials) that is to be used for the same purpose. <u>In re Kerkhoven</u> 205 USPQ 1069.

Applicant's arguments with respect to all the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Marcheschi whose telephone number is (571) 272-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/07 MM Michael A Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755